

ALARACT 045/2024

DTG: R 182040Z JUN 24

UNCLAS

SUBJ/ALARACT 045/2024 - NOMINATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2024 BEST MILITARY POLICE COMPANY AND DETACHMENT AWARD; ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND GUARD

THIS ALARACT MESSAGE HAS BEEN TRANSMITTED BY JSP ON BEHALF OF HQDA, OPMG

REFERENCE: (U) MAJOR GENERAL BANDHOLTZ AWARD STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP) (SEE ATTACHMENT)

1. (U) PURPOSE: THIS MESSAGE PROVIDES GUIDANCE FROM THE OFFICE OF THE PROVOST MARSHAL GENERAL (OPMG) IN REFERENCE TO NOMINATIONS FOR THE MAJOR GENERAL BANDHOLTZ AWARD.
2. (U) MISSION: MILITARY POLICE (MP) UNITS COMPETING FOR THE FISCAL YEAR (FY24) MAJOR GENERAL BANDHOLTZ AWARD, WILL SUBMIT THEIR NOMINATIONS NO LATER THAN 15 NOVEMBER 2024 TO THE OPMG.
3. (U) EACH ARMY COMMAND (ACOM), ARMY SERVICE COMPONENT COMMAND (ASCC), DIRECT REPORTING UNIT (DRU), FIELD OPERATING AGENCY (FOA), AS WELL AS EACH MP BRIGADE IN THE U.S. ARMY RESERVE (USAR) AND ARMY NATIONAL GUARD (ARNG) MAY SUBMIT NOMINATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOP.
4. (U) EACH FY, THE OPMG HONORS THE BEST MP UNITS ACROSS THE ARMY. THIS FY OPMG WILL SELECT THE BEST MP COMPANY, BEST MP DETACHMENT, BEST MP UNIT (USAR), AND BEST MP UNIT (ARNG).
5. (U) NOMINATIONS WILL BE PAPERLESS AND ELECTRONICALLY SUBMITTED TO THE OPMG IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOP, WHICH HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO EACH ACOM, ASCC, DRU, AND FOA PROVOST MARSHAL CELL.
6. (U) THE OPMG WILL ANNOUNCE ALL AWARD WINNERS NO LATER THAN 31 JANUARY 2025.

7. (U) POINT OF CONTACT FOR THE AWARD AND SOP IS THE OPMG AT (703) 692-6848, OR BY EMAIL AT USARMY.PENTAGON.HQDA-PMG.MBX.AWARD@ARMY.MIL.

8. (U) THIS ALARACT MESSAGE EXPIRES ON 31 DECEMBER 2024.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. (U) MAJOR GENERAL BANDHOLTZ AWARD STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP)



Department of the Army
Office of the Provost Marshal General

**MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL
BANDHOLTZ AWARD**

Standard Operating Procedure (FY24)



Headquarters, Department of the Army
Washington, DC
15 May 2024

UNCLASSIFIED

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

Table of Contents

I.	History	3
II.	Timeline	4
III.	Purpose and Award Categories	5
IV.	Eligibility	6
V.	Evaluated Categories	7
VI.	Entry and Submission Criteria	8
VII.	Selection Panel	10
VIII.	Winners	11
	Appendix A: Nomination Packet Requirements	12
	Appendix B: Previous Winners	13
	Appendix C: History References	14
	Appendix D: Example Unit Evaluation Sheet	15

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

I. History

The process to recognize the most outstanding Military Police Unit in the U.S. Army, started in 1969. When retired Brigadier General Jeremiah P. Holland, a Military Police Officer, requested the Provost Marshal General a letter and funds requesting to sponsor an award to promote esprit de corps and professionalism in Military Police units throughout the Army. The Provost Marshal General of the U.S. Army, Major General Karl J. Gustafson, then established the Award under the name of BG JP Holland, the sponsor of the Award. In September of 1970, the first BG JP Holland Award was presented to the 545th Military Police Company.

BG Holland's esteemed career of 30 years included notable assignments such as Deputy to the Provost Marshal General and twice as the Commandant of the Provost Marshal General's School. To this day, BG Holland's name is widely recognized as a significant contributor to the development of the Military Police Corps.

The BG JP Holland Award continued to be awarded thru 2018. In 2019, the Award was redesignated as the MG Harry H. Bandholtz Award. MG Bandholtz is considered the "Father of the Military Police Corps."

MG Bandholtz started his career by enlisting in the Illinois National Guard in 1882. He worked his way to the rank of Lance Sergeant before attending the U.S. Military Academy in 1886.

While serving as a Captain in the 7th Infantry Division, MG Bandholtz performed courageously and with valor under fire during combat operations in the Spanish-American War in 1898. He was awarded the Silver Star for his actions, unfortunately this award didn't come until years later and it was awarded posthumously.

Over the course of the next 20 years, MG Bandholtz would gain valuable experience serving as the Provost Marshal of the 1st Division and 2nd Infantry Division; Governor, Tayabas Province, Philippines; Colonel, District Chief, and then Chief of Constabulary, Philippines; Commander, Fort Porter, New York; Chief of Staff, 27th Infantry Division; and Commander, 58th Infantry Brigade. During his assignments he became an expert at all police matters, educating policing functions, and utilizing police intelligence. He oversaw executions and custody and care of prisoners.

MG Bandholtz was well prepared when he was appointed Provost Marshal General of the American Expeditionary Force (AEF) on September 25th, 1918. General Pershing described the Provost Department as in a disgraceful condition. MG Bandholtz reorganized the entire Department, incorporated standards, increased pay, established the Division of Criminal Investigations (DCI), and professionalized the Military Police Force (AEF) of over 42,000.

At the end of World War I, in addition to the 48,000 prisoners of war, MG Bandholtz was responsible for Law and Order of US Forces across Europe. During the drawdown of the AEF from Europe, MG Bandholtz had established the Military Police Corps as a vital asset and a necessary function within the U.S. Army, and therefore paved the way for the formal creation of the Military Police Corps.

MG Bandholtz was inducted into the U.S. Army Military Police Corps Hall of Fame in 1992 during the first iteration of the Hall of Fame.

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

II. Timeline - 2024 MG Bandholtz Award Timeline and Target Dates

1 October 2023 – 30 September 2024: Period of award

May 2024: 2024 MG Bandholtz Award SOP Published

1 October 2024 – 15 November 2024: Command Level Competitions

15 November 2024: Deadline for submission to OPMG

December 2024: Selection Board selects winners

January 2025: Winners announced

January 2025 – March 2025: Trophy Presentations at respective unit locations

May 2025: 2025 MG Bandholtz Award SOP Published

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

III. Purpose and Award Categories

A. Purpose.

The MG Harry Hill Bandholtz competition honors Military Police Units that have demonstrated excellence and achieved results above and beyond. On behalf of the Secretary of the Army, the Office of the Provost Marshal General (OPMG) conducts the competition annually to recognize, cultivate and inspire excellence within the Army Military Police community. This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) establishes competition criteria and provides units with guidance for submitting nominations for this award.

B. Award Categories. The MG Bandholtz Award will recognize the best:

- Military Police Company (Active Army)
- Military Police Detachment (Active Army)
- Military Police Unit (USAR) – Company or Detachment size
- Military Police Unit (ARNG) – Company or Detachment size

IV. Eligibility

A. Below is the list of Commands that are eligible to host a command-level competition and forward nominations to the 2024 MG Bandholtz Awards Competition.

- U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM)
- U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC)
- U.S. Army Installation Management Command (IMCOM)
- U.S. Army Africa (USARAF)
- U.S. Army Central (USARCENT)
- U.S. Army North (USARNORTH)
- U.S. Army South (USARSO)
- U.S. Army Europe (USAREUR-AF)
- U.S. Army Pacific (USARPAC)
- U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command (USACIDC)
- U.S. Army Military District of Washington (MDW)
- U.S. Army Corrections Command (ACC)
- One company per State or Military Police Brigade (applies only to USAR/ARNG)

B. All Military Police company size units and detachment size units (to include specifically created Military Police Task Force units) are eligible to submit a nomination packet.

C. When a Unit is deployed (or OPCON) from one eligible command to another eligible command for greater than 180 days during the FY, the Unit will submit their nomination to their deployed (or OPCON) eligible command. For example, if 1st MP Company (FORSCOM) is deployed to the USARNORTH AO for greater than 180 days during the FY, 1st MP Company will submit their nomination to USARNORTH and will compete in the USARNORTH's command-level competition.

D. The greater than 180-day condition applies regardless of when during the FY a unit was deployed (or OPCON) to another eligible command. This also applies to deployed (or OPCON) USAR or ARNG units.

E. Units are prohibited from submitting a packet to more than one Command.

V. Evaluated Categories

1. Cohesive, Fit, and Disciplined (This is My Squad): Highlights efforts by the unit to develop a cohesive, fit, and disciplined organization. Demonstrates how the unit facilitated leadership by Junior NCOs and how the unit achieved a committed organization. Highlights the unit's efforts to create a culture where leaders know and grow their Soldiers and how each member of the unit is part of a Squad. Include how the unit has prepared for the ACFT.

2. Readiness and Deployability (Ready Now): Highlights the unit's ability to fight tonight. This section should address the unit's overall Medical Readiness, Equipment Readiness, Reenlistment Rate, Unit Discipline, and Soldier deployability. Include accomplishments; some examples include Army Award for Maintenance Excellence (AAME) or the Supply Excellence Award (SEA). USAR/ARNG units should also include an average of Military Occupational Specialty Qualified (MOSQ) Soldiers.

3. Military Police Focus and Innovation (Assist, Protect, Defend): Highlights the unit's success in prevention of crime, investigation of crime, support to the unit mission, and support to Commanders and their mission. Include accomplishments where the unit served as a role model in the community or efforts to promote improved anti-terrorism, protection, and security postures. Also highlights how the unit made a difference thru new or renewed techniques used to train for or implement Military Police skill sets in support of a mission or a supported Commander. Demonstrates how the unit utilized Law and Order/Investigation tactics that gained extraordinary results, new or revised TTPs that enhanced skills, and/or how a unit gained confidence of a community, other units, or a supported Commander.

4. Military Police History (Of the Troops. For the Troops): Highlights the unit's promotion of, and education of, Military Police History both internal and external to the unit. Depicts how history has impacted both past, present, and future Army Operations. Should include how the unit has embraced its own history. An example could be how Military Police History was incorporated into training.

5. Military Police Training (Trained and Ready): Highlights the unit's training program that focused on expanding Military Police knowledge, weapons qualification, physical fitness, and proficiency on Military Police Tasks, to include war time mission. Examples could include partner training, rotations at training centers, training with other units, and training with foreign/partner nations/other non-DoD organizations. Demonstrates how the unit incorporated Military Police training with physical fitness and/or weapons qualifications, such as live fires, shoot houses, or situational training exercises. Highlights do not have to be entire unit focused but individual accomplishments, as an example the Soldier Expert Badge.

6. Tell Your Story (Warriors Wanted): Highlights efforts by the unit to tell the Army and Unit's story. This includes, but is not limited to, any community engagements, Family Readiness Group activities, volunteer work, use of social media and efforts assisting with Army Recruiting.

VI. Entry and Submission Criteria

A. Only Commands listed in Section IV are eligible to host a Command-Level competition and only those points of contact will submit nominations to OPMG. Submission instructions will be provided to points of contact at those Commands.

B. Commands listed in Section IV are eligible and encouraged to submit one packet for each Award Category listed in Section III.

C. Entries must be received at Headquarters, Department of the Army (OPMG) no later than 15 November 2024. Late submissions, direct submissions from units, and submissions lacking required documentation will not be accepted.

D. The time period for the FY24 Award is 1 October 2023 thru 30 September 2024.

E. It is the responsibility of the submitting Command to ensure that each submission meets the entry criteria in accordance with this SOP.

F. The main focus of a unit's submission is the Evaluated Categories in Section V. The Evaluated Categories are broken into five sections and are to be presented by the unit primarily using a narrative supported by pictures, examples, methods of success, and results. Each section of the Evaluated Categories is limited to five total pages.

G. At a minimum, numerical data is required for ACFT, Weapons Qualification, Medical Readiness, and Equipment Readiness.

H. There are two methods to capture and **depict numerical data** (either method is acceptable):

1. Snapshot in Time. This method is used to depict data of members assigned to the unit as of a certain date during the Fiscal Year. This method recognizes that certain data and records are no longer maintained or available when a member departs the unit.

2. Average. This method is used to depict an average of total data of current and former members who were assigned to the unit for all or part of the Fiscal Year, regardless of the length of their tenure with the unit.

I. Numerical data should be provided in the Evaluated Category write up (Section V), and supported with documentation from an Army Program of Record (DTMS, MEDPROS, etc).

J. All nominations will be unclassified.

VI. Entry and Submission Criteria (continued)

K. Nominations will be submitted in one PDF file and page oriented. Additional documents and files will not be considered. Files will be named in such a manner to identify the unit and the submitting command.

L. Nominations may not contain interactive design elements, including animation, slideshows, or embedded videos. Photos will be embedded into the PDF.

M. Submissions will follow the format in AR 25-50 to the best extent possible.

N. The outline/order of the nomination packet is outlined in Appendix A.

O. Nominations should be constructed with the understanding that, at the end of the Selection Panel, highlights from each unit will be compiled and shared with Military Police Leaders. This showcases to Military Police Leaders the excellence occurring across the Regiment.

P. Nominations will include a memorandum from the Company/Detachment Commander describing a summary of the unit's activities over the FY. The Commander should also address the unit's strengths that will be highlighted in detail in the nomination packet. This memorandum may also be used to highlight any accomplishments that do not fit into the Evaluated Categories listed in Section V. Commanders will conclude this memorandum with a statement articulating that the nomination packet is a true and accurate representation of their Unit. This memorandum should not exceed two pages. Limit all paragraphs to six lines.

Q. Nominations will include an endorsement from their supported Commander (General Officer) which includes a summary of how the nominated unit made a difference to the mission of the supported Commander.

R. Upon completion of the command-level competition (IAW Section IV), an endorsement from that Command will be submitted with the Nomination Packet, there is no requirement for the Commander/Commanding General to sign that endorsement.

S. Throughout the nomination packet, offer specifics rather than generalities. Describe achievements that set the unit apart from other units. Do not waste time highlighting performance that would be expected of any unit.

T. Nominations will include a Unit Roster of **all** Soldiers that were assigned to the unit at any point during the Fiscal Year. Unit rosters will display each Soldier's Rank, First Name, Middle Initial, and Last Name, in that order. No other information will be displayed.

U. The point of contact for the award and this SOP is OPMG at 703-692-6848 or usarmy.pentagon.hqda-pmg.mbx.award@army.mil

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

VII. Selection Panel

A. The Selection Panel will include distinguished CMF 31 Senior Noncommissioned Officers, Officers, Warrant Officers, and Civilians, specifically selected based on their expertise within CMF 31.

B. No ties are allowed. The Selection Panel will designate only one winner per Award Category.

C. The Selection Panel's decisions are reviewed by the Provost Marshal General, who retains discretion for final approval and authority for release of results and other information. Once approved, the decisions are final.

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

VIII. Winners

A. Winners will be selected based on a unit's performance as depicted in their Nomination Packet.

B. Each Award Category winner will receive a MG Bandholtz Award Trophy. Arrangements will be made for delivery and presentation of the award at a local ceremony.

C. To highlight the outstanding individual achievement required for a unit to be successful, every Soldier assigned to the Nominated Unit during the Fiscal Year will receive a MG Bandholtz Award Certificate of Achievement signed by the Provost Marshal General and the Provost Marshal General Sergeant Major. The Certificates will be mailed to the unit of the winners being announced and are authorized for immediate distribution to Soldiers. Certificates will be produced using the Unit Roster provided in the nomination (Section VI (T)).

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

Appendix A
Nomination Packet Requirements

1. Command-level Endorsement Memo
2. Supported Commander's Summary
3. Commander's Unit Summary (No more than 2 pages)
4. Evaluated Category 1 (no more than 5 pages)
5. Evaluated Category 2 (no more than 5 pages)
6. Evaluated Category 3 (no more than 5 pages)
7. Evaluated Category 4 (no more than 5 pages)
8. Evaluated Category 5 (no more than 5 pages)
9. Evaluated Category 6 (no more than 5 pages)
10. Army Program of Record Supporting Documentation (no more than 5 pages)
11. Unit Roster

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

Appendix B **Previous Winners**

1970	545th MP Company, Fort Hood, Texas	2005	551st MP Company, Fort Campbell, Kentucky
1971	118th MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina	2006	194th MP Company, Fort Campbell, Kentucky
1972	570th MP Company, Frankfurt, Germany	2007	108th MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina
1973	20th MP Company, Okinawa, Japan	2008	59th MP Company, Fort Carson, Colorado
1974	529th MP Company, Heidelberg, Germany	2009	194th MP Company, Fort Campbell, Kentucky
1975	82d MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina	2010	HHC, 705th MP Battalion, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas
1976	287th MP Company, Berlin, Germany	2011	209th MP Detachment, Fort Benning, Georgia
1977	284th MP Company, Frankfurt, Germany	2012	58th MP Company, Schofield Barracks, Hawaii
1978	984th MP Company, Fort Carson, Colorado	2013	92d MP Company, Baumholder, Germany
1979	561st MP Company, Fort Myer, Virginia	2014	HHD, 11th MP Battalion (CID)
1980	2d MP Company, Camp Casey, Korea	2015	21st MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina
1981	534th MP Company, Fort Clayton, Panama	2016	65th MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina
1982	6th MP Company, Muenster, Germany		USACA, Camp Humphreys, Korea
1983	300th MP Company, Stuttgart, Germany	2017	202d MP Company, Ft. Bliss, Texas
1984	118th MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina		HHD, 19th MP Battalion (CID), Schofield Barracks, Hawaii
1985	287th MP Company, Berlin, Germany	2018	551st MP Company, Fort Campbell, Kentucky
1986	552d MP Company, Pusan, Korea		13th MP Detachment, Schofield Barracks, Hawaii
1987	287th MP Company, Berlin, Germany	2019	59th MP Company, Fort Carson, Colorado
1988	549th MP Company, Fort Davis, Panama		262d MP Detachment, USAG-Bavaria, Germany
1989	556th MP Company, Sieglbach, Germany		34th MP Company, Stillwater, Minnesota
1990	65th MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina	2020	21st MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina
1991	501st MP Company, Bad Kreuznach, Germany		69th MP Detachment, Fort Carson, Colorado
1992	188th MP Company, Camp Walker, Korea		34th MP Company, Stillwater, Minnesota
1993	300th MP Company, Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri	2021	978th MP Company, Fort Bliss, Texas
1994	3d MP Company, Wurzburg, Germany		550th MWD Detachment, Fort Bragg, North Carolina
1995	Fort Myer MP Company, Fort Myer, Virginia		276th MP Company, Washington, D.C.
1996	230th MP Company, Kaiserslautern, Germany		HHC 400th MP Company, Ft. Meade, Maryland
1997	1st MP Company, Wurzburg, Germany	2022	978th MP Company, Fort Bliss, Texas
1998	21st MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina		226th MWD Detachment, Fort Hood, Texas
1999	230th MP Company, Kaiserslautern, Germany		235th MP Company, Rapid City, South Dakota
2002	549th MP Company, Fort Stewart, Georgia	2023	65 th MP Company, Fort Liberty, NC
2003	615th MP Company, Grafenwoehr, Germany		HHD, 709 th MP Battalion, Vilseck, Germany
2004	82d MP Company, Fort Bragg, North Carolina		HHC, 304 th MP Battalion, Nashville, TN
			442 nd , MP Company, Farmingdale, NY

* 1970-2018 BG Jeremiah P. Holland Award Winners

** In 2016, the Best MP Detachment Category was added

*** In 2019, two additional categories were added: Best Military Police Unit (USAR) – Company or Detachment size and Best Military Police Unit (ARNG) – Company or Detachment size

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

Appendix C
History References

“A Forgotten Soldier: The Life and Times of Major General Harry Hill Bandholtz,” by Patrick V. Garland, Infinity Publishing, 2009

“Military Police Regimental History,” Military Police Regimental Association, Acclaim Press, 2018

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

Appendix D
Unit Evaluation
Sheet

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation



Panel Member:	Evaluated Unit:
----------------------	------------------------

Category (Circle One)	Company	Detachment	USAR	ARNG
----------------------------------	---------	------------	------	------

Submitting Command (Circle One)	FORSCOM	TRADOC	IMCOM	USARAF
	USARCENT	USARNORTH	USARSO	USAREUR-AF
	USARPAC	USACIDC	MDW	ACC
	USAR/ARNG Brigade			

<u>Panel Recorder Use Only</u>	Point Total
Company/Detachment Commander Memo (Max 15 Points)	
Supported Commander Endorsement Memo (Max 15 Points)	
Cohesive, Fit, and Disciplined (This is My Squad) (Max 50 Points)	
Readiness and Deployability (Ready Now) (Max 50 Points)	
Military Police Focus and Innovation (Assist, Protect, Defend) (Max 50 Points)	
Military Police History (Of the Troops, For the Troops) (Max 50 Points)	
Military Police Training (Trained and Ready) (Max 50 Points)	
Tell Your Story (Warriors Wanted) (Max 50 Points)	
Additional Point Possibilities (Max 30 Points)	
Panel Member Points (Max 25 Points)	
OVERALL TOTAL POINTS (Max 385 Points)	

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

<u>Company or Detachment Commander Memo (15 points MAX)</u> Nominations will include a memorandum from the Company/Detachment Commander describing a summary of the unit's activities over the FY. The Commander should also address the unit's strengths that will be highlighted in detail in the nomination packet. This memorandum may also be used to highlight any accomplishments that do not fit into the Evaluated Categories listed in Section V. Commanders will conclude this memorandum with a statement articulating that the nomination packet is a true and accurate representation of their Unit. This memorandum should not exceed two pages. Limit all paragraphs to six lines.	
<u>Unit Strengths Highlighted by Commander:</u>	
Total Points (0–15)	

<u>Supported Commander Endorsement Memo (15 points MAX)</u> Nominations will include an endorsement from their supported Commander (General Officer) which includes a summary of how the nominated unit made a difference to the mission of the supported Commander.	
<u>How did the unit make a difference for the Supported Commander:</u>	
Total Points (0–15)	

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

CATEGORIES:

1. Cohesive, Fit, and Disciplined (This is My Squad)(50 pts MAX): Highlights efforts by the unit to develop a cohesive, fit, and disciplined organization. Demonstrates how the unit facilitated leadership by Junior NCOs and how the unit achieved a committed organization versus a complaint organization. Highlights the unit's efforts to create a culture where leaders know and grow their Soldiers. Highlights how each member of the unit is part of a Squad. Include how the unit has prepared for the ACFT.

Highlights:

TTPs which should be shared:

Total Points (0-50)

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

2. Readiness and Deployability (Ready Now)(50 pts MAX): Highlights the unit's ability to fight tonight. This section should address the unit's overall Medical Readiness, Equipment Readiness, Reenlistment Rate, Unit Discipline, and Soldier deployability. Include accomplishments that assisted in Readiness; some examples include Army Award for Maintenance Excellence (MME) or the Supply Excellence Award (SEA). USAR/ARNG units should also include an average of Military Occupational Specialty Qualified (MOSQ) Soldiers.

Highlights:

Medical Readiness Comments			
Equipment Readiness Comments			
USAR/ARNG MOSQ Average			
Total Points (0-50)			

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

3. Military Police Focus and Innovation (Assist, Protect, Defend)(50 pts MAX):

Highlights the unit's success in prevention of crime, investigation of crime, support to the unit mission, and support to Commanders and their mission. Include accomplishments where the unit served as a role model in the community or efforts to promote improved security posture. Also highlights how the unit made a difference thru new or renewed techniques used to train for or implement Military Police skill sets in support of a mission or a supported Commander. Demonstrates how the unit utilized Law and Order/Investigation tactics that gained extraordinary results, new or revised TTPs that enhanced skills, and/or how a unit gained confidence of a community, other units, or a supported Commander.

Highlights:**TTPs which should be shared:**

Total Points (0-50)

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

4. Military Police History (Of the Troops, For the Troops)(50 pts MAX):

Highlights the unit's promotion of, and education of, Military Police History both internal and external to the unit. Depicts how history has impacted both past, present, and future Army Operations. Should include how the unit has embraced its own history. An example could be how Military Police History was incorporated into training.

Highlights:

TTPs that should be shared:

Total Points (0-50)

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

5. Military Police Training (Trained and Ready)(50 pts MAX): Highlights the unit's training program that focused on expanding Military Police knowledge, weapons qualification, physical fitness, and proficiency on Military Police Tasks, to include war time mission. Examples could include partner training, rotations at training centers, training with other units, and training with foreign/partner nations/other non-DoD organizations. Demonstrates how the unit incorporated Military Police training with physical fitness and/or weapons qualifications, such as live fires, shoot houses, or situational training exercises. Highlights do not have to be entire unit focused.

Highlights:

TTPs which should be shared:

Total Points (0-50)

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

6. Tell Your Story (Warriors Wanted)(50 pts MAX): Highlights efforts by the unit to tell the Army and Unit's story. This includes, but is not limited to, any community engagements, Family Readiness Group activities, volunteer work, and use of social media.

Highlights:

TTPs which should be shared:

Total Points (0-50)

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

FY24 MG Harry Hill Bandholtz Award
Unit Evaluation (continued)

Additional Point Possibilities (Circle point value if applicable)

Followed format IAW AR 25-50 to the best extent possible (Max 5 Points)	
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Unit Roster Enclosed and in the Proper Format (Max 5 Points)	
---------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Packet Completed in the order IAW the SOP (Max 5 Points)	
-----------------------------------------------------------------	--

ACFT avg included, with DTMS data (Max 5 Points)	
---------------------------------------------------------	--

Weapons qual data included, with DTMS data (Max 5 Points)	
------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Supporting documents included for Medical, and Equipment Readiness, MOSQ (Max 5 Points)	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<u>Panel Member Points</u>	
Overall Presentation and Unit Representation of the Unit thru the Packet Submitted. (Max 25 Points)	

Panel Member Signature:	Date:

DAPM

SUBJECT: FY2024 MAJOR GENERAL HARRY HILL BANDHOLTZ AWARD

After Action Review: Utilize this space to provide specific comments about this packet. These comments may be provided to the unit as part of a collection of all comments from panel members. These comments may also be used to assist in preparation of next year's award submissions.

Comments: